7'10/31/2005 MON 15:16 FAX 12489888363 Carlson, Gaskey & Olds

2004/005

60,137-242; 118-3004-U

REMARKS

Applicant has now amended this application in response to the office action. With the

amendment to the claims, the objection to the drawings should be moot.

Reconsideration of the rejection over the prior art is requested.

The Guenther reference does not disclose tabs. It is inconsistent with patent law to

simply hold that the requirements of separate tabs having holes would be met by Guenther as

some form of "mere choice." It is incumbent upon the examiner to show prior art, and to support

the rejection and any modification. Simply, applicant has developed a unique support bar

structure, and the claims are allowable. Guenther does not disclose what is required of the

claims. Sarkisian also does not meet the limitations of the claims.

The examiner relies upon two decisions, one of which is actually older than 35 USC

§103, and both of which are prior to the decision in Graham v. John Deere. There is no general

rule that making a multi-part structure one piece will always be obvious. The examiner must

support modifying Sarkisian such that it would become one piece. Simply, there is no

motivation to modify Sarkisian. The Sarkisian device has the separate parts for a reason with

regard to easy assembly.

Further, modifying the tab structure of Sarkisian and adding a cover plate is not

suggested.

4

Dated: October 31, 2005

60,137-242; 118-3004-U

For all of these reasons, the rejection of all claims is improper and should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Theodore W. Olds, Reg. No. 33,080

Carlson, Gaskey & Olds

400 W. Maple Road, Ste. 350

Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-8360

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States patent and Trademark Office, fax number (703) 872-9306, on October 31, 2005.

Laura Combs